NIMUN' 26

UNFCCC

United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change



STUDYGUIDE

United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)

Mobilizing Climate Finance for Advancing Climate Action: Mechanisms, Challenges, and Innovations

Table of Contents

1. Introduction 4
1.1 Mandate and Objectives 4
1.2 Introduction to the Agenda 4
Glossary 6
2. Background on Climate Finance 9
2.1 Definition and Scope 9
2.2 Sources and Mechanisms 9
2.3 Historical Context 10
3. Key UNFCCC Mechanisms Relevant to Climate Finance 10
3.1 Green Climate Fund (GCF) 10
3.2 Adaptation Fund (AF) 11
3.3 Global Environment Facility (GEF) 11
3.4 Technology Mechanism 11
3.5 UNFCCC Financial Mechanism 11
4. International Commitments and Agreements 12
4.1 Paris Agreement 12
4.2 COP Finance Decisions 12
4.3 Developed vs. Developing Countries Perspectives 13
4.3.1 Developing Countries' Perspectives 13
5. Challenges in Mobilizing Climate Finance 16
5.1 Financial Gaps 16
5.2 Barriers to Access 16
5.3 Private Sector Participation 16
5.4 Equity and Justice 17
6. Regional and Country Perspectives 17
6.1 Developing Countries 17
6.2 Developed Countries 17

6.3 Small Island Developing States (SIDS) 18
6.4 Stakeholder Analysis 18
7. Role of UNFCCC in Facilitating Climate Finance 19
7.1 Negotiation Platform 19
7.2 Monitoring and Reporting 19
8. Case Studies and Examples 20
8.1 Successful Projects 20
8.2 Innovative Financing 20
8.3 Implications of Different Financing Mechanisms 21
9. Recommendations and Future Outlook 21
9.1 Increasing Climate Finance 21
9.2 Enhancing Transparency and Accountability 21
9.3 Linking Finance to Climate Goals 21
9.4 Integrating Strategic Financing Mechanisms 22
10. Questions a Resolution Must Answer (QARMA) 22
11. References 23

Authors & Contributions

Editorial Oversight: Zainab Fawad - Director Publications
Editors

Safa Naseer - Deputy Director Publications

Saifullah Shamim - Deputy Director Publications

Coordinators
Mahad Saeed -Director Secretariat Affairs

Contributing Authors

Team	Authors
Publications	Aiema Naveed
Secretariat Affairs	Saifullah Waseem

United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)

1. Introduction

1.1 Mandate and Objectives

The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) was adopted at the 1992 Rio Earth Summit and entered into force in 1994, creating a universal treaty to combat climate change by stabilizing greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere at safe levels [1]. The Convention sets out core principles, including *common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities*, which require developed countries to take the lead in providing financial and technological support to developing nations [2]. One of its central goals is to mobilize sufficient climate finance to support both mitigation (reducing emissions) and adaptation (enhancing resilience) activities in vulnerable countries [1][2].

Under the UNFCCC, developed country Parties are obligated to assist developing country Parties in implementing climate actions through financial resources, reflecting the agreement's equity principles and the recognition that vulnerabilities and capacities vary across countries [2]. The Convention established a Financial Mechanism, which channels climate finance through operating entities and funds to help countries implement their climate plans [1]. This financial architecture forms the backbone of global climate finance governance and accountability.

Annual Conferences of the Parties (COPs) serve as the negotiation platform where Parties discuss and make decisions on climate finance priorities, review progress, and encourage new commitments, including long-term goals such as the collective pledge to mobilize USD 100 billion per year for climate finance by 2020 and beyond [1][2]. Over time, these commitments have expanded to encompass broader goals and enhanced reporting requirements.

1.2 Introduction to the Agenda

Climate finance refers to financial flows from international, national, public, private, and alternative sources directed toward climate change mitigation and adaptation efforts [2]. Its purpose is to support countries in implementing climate policies, building resilience in vulnerable communities, scaling low-carbon infrastructure, and ensuring sustainable development outcomes [2][3]. In the context of the UNFCCC and the Paris Agreement, climate finance plays a critical role in enabling nations to achieve their Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) and build climate-resilient economies.

Under the Paris Agreement, adopted at COP21 in 2015, Parties agreed that all financial flows should be aligned with pathways that limit global warming and enhance resilience, aiming for a balance between support for mitigation and adaptation actions [1][4]. The agreement builds on

the UNFCCC's obligations by reaffirming that developed countries should take the lead in providing financial assistance while encouraging voluntary contributions by other Parties [2]. Article 9 of the Paris Agreement specifically reiterates these obligations and emphasizes transparency in reporting financial contributions [3].

To implement climate finance effectively, the Convention established a financial mechanism overseen by the COP, with operating entities such as the Global Environment Facility (GEF) and the Green Climate Fund (GCF) providing resources to developing countries [2][3]. Specialized funds like the Special Climate Change Fund (SCCF) and the Least Developed Countries Fund (LDCF) also serve this mechanism, alongside the Adaptation Fund established under the Kyoto Protocol [2].

The Standing Committee on Finance (SCF), created at COP16 in 2010, assists the COP in rationalizing and coordinating climate finance, improving transparency, and supporting the mobilization of financial resources from varied sources [1][2]. The SCF prepares biennial assessments of climate finance flows, enhancing accountability and informing global stocktakes under the Paris Agreement.

The agenda *Mobilizing Climate Finance for Advancing Climate Action* thus focuses on examining these mechanisms, identifying key barriers, and exploring innovative approaches to increase the scale, accessibility, and effectiveness of climate finance in support of global mitigation and adaptation objectives.

Glossary

1. Kyoto Protocol

A legally binding international treaty under the UNFCCC, adopted in 199,7 that requires developed countries to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions [2]. However, no new commitment period has been set after 2020, and it is superseded by the Paris Agreement [4,49].

2. Paris Agreement

The Paris Agreement is a legally binding UNFCCC treaty, adopted in 2015, in which all member states must commit to climate action through nationally determined contributions, aiming to limit global warming to well below 2°C [4,49]. The agreement also outlines the need for strengthening adaptation, transparency, and support for vulnerable nations [4].

3. Climate Finance

Climate Finance refers to local, national, or international funding derived from public, private, and alternative sources that aims to promote climate change adaptation and mitigation initiatives [1,5,6]. It is a crucial part of the UNFCCC process and reflects the duty of developed nations to supply financial resources to help developing nations meet the necessary objectives [1,3,28].

4. Carbon Markets

Under the UNFCCC and the Paris Agreement, carbon markets are market-based mechanisms that allow countries, businesses, or other entities to more affordably meet climate targets by trading reductions or removals of greenhouse gas emissions (frequently referred to as carbon credits or allowances) [4,50].

5. Common but Differentiated Responsibilities and Respective Capabilities (CBDR-RC)

CBDR-RC is a UNFCCC principle that acknowledges that all Parties have a shared obligation to address climate change, but that obligations vary based on each nation's historical contributions to greenhouse gas emissions and capabilities (Article 3.1, UNFCCC) [2,4].

6. Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC)

Each Party to the Paris Agreement submits an NDC, a climate action plan that is revised every five years and in which a nation establishes its own goals and strategies for cutting greenhouse gas emissions [4,50]. Global temperature targets are largely dependent on NDCs, which are meant to become more ambitious over time and represent each nation's unique circumstances, capacities, and obligations (Article 4, Paris Agreement) [4,50].

7. The Global Environment Facility (GEF)

The Global Environment Facility (GEF) is a global financial mechanism that finances initiatives and programs in developing nations that protect international waters, forests, chemicals, biodiversity, land degradation, and mitigation of climate change [7,49]. It provides funding for a number of international environmental accords, which include the UNFCCC [7].

8. Climate Action

All activities, policies, and efforts made by governments, organizations, and communities to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, prepare for the effects of climate change, and build resilience are collectively referred to as climate action [4,26,33]. In order to achieve global temperature and sustainability targets, climate action under the UNFCCC and the Paris Agreement entails putting into practice Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs), adaptation plans, climate financing methods, etc. [4,5,50].

9. Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice (SBSTA)

One of the two permanent subsidiary bodies created under the UNFCCC, the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice (SBSTA) is tasked with providing timely information, advice, and suggestions on scientific, technological, and methodological issues pertaining to climate change and the implementation of the Convention and its related agreements (Article 9, UNFCCC) [2,4]. It aids in connecting the most recent scientific findings with the Parties' policy requirements [2,4].

10. Subsidiary Body for Implementation (SBI)

One of the two permanent subsidiary bodies created under the UNFCCC is the Subsidiary Body for Implementation (SBI), whose primary responsibility is to evaluate and examine how well the Convention and its related agreements are being implemented, including issues on transparency, mitigation, adaptation, finance, technology transfer, capacity-building, and reporting (Article 10, UNFCCC) [2,4].

11. Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions

The release of greenhouse gases and/or their precursors into the atmosphere over a predetermined region and time period is known as GHG emissions [2,26,27]. Carbon dioxide (CO_2), methane (CH_4), nitrous oxide (N_2O), and other gases that trap heat in the atmosphere and contribute to climate change are referred to as "emissions" under the UNFCCC [2,26]. To account for the various warming impacts of each gas, these emissions are frequently reported in carbon dioxide equivalent (CO_2eq) units in UNFCCC reporting and assessments [2,27].

12. Conference of the Parties (COP)

All of the Convention's Parties make up the Conference of the Parties (COP), which is the UNFCCC's highest decision-making body [2,4]. Every year, it convenes to examine implementation, approve decisions, evaluate progress, and negotiate agreements or revisions (such as the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris Agreement) [2,4,49].

13. Conference of the Parties serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP)

The Kyoto Protocol, which is connected to the UNFCCC, is explicitly governed by the CMP [2,4]. It strives to guarantee the implementation of the Protocol's provisions, including market mechanisms and carbon reduction obligations, and meets yearly alongside the COP [2,4].

14. Conference of the Parties serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Paris Agreement (CMA)

The Paris Agreement is overseen by the CMA [4,50]. In order to accomplish climate targets like Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs), all Parties to the Paris Agreement take part in reviewing implementation, adopting regulations, and offering guidance [4,50].

15. Paris Agreement (additional info)

The Paris Agreement was adopted by 195 Parties on December 12, 2015, during the UN Climate Change Conference (COP21) in Paris, France [4, 50]. On November 4, 2016, it came into effect [4, 50]. Its main objective is to pursue measures "to limit the temperature increase to 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels" while maintaining "the increase in the global average temperature to well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels" [4,50]. For the first time, a legally binding accord unites all countries to fight climate change and adapt to its repercussions, making the Paris Agreement a significant milestone in the international climate change process [4, 50].

2. Background on Climate Finance

2.1 Definition and Scope

Climate finance encompasses all financial flows, investments, and mechanisms designed to address climate change, including mitigation, which focuses on reducing greenhouse gas emissions, and adaptation, which enhances resilience to climate impacts [3][12]. It covers public finance, such as government aid, concessional loans from multilateral development banks, and funds established under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) and the Paris Agreement, including the Global Environment Facility, Green Climate Fund, Adaptation Fund, and specialized funds like the Least Developed Countries Fund and the Special Climate Change Fund [10][14][15][27]. Private finance forms another significant component, involving capital from corporations, banks, investors, and insurance companies delivered through instruments such as equity, debt, project financing, green bonds, and voluntary carbon markets [16][32]. Innovative instruments, such as climate risk insurance and debt-for-climate swaps, also play an increasingly important role, linking financial flows to resilience and adaptation projects in highly vulnerable or debt-stressed countries [17][18][33].

Key actors in climate finance include national governments, which provide bilateral aid and implement domestic green finance policies; multilateral institutions, which offer loans, grants, and technical assistance; private sector investors mobilizing capital for mitigation and adaptation; and non-governmental organizations supporting project implementation, capacity building, and advocacy [20][34]. Funding can be domestic or international, and the UNFCCC and Paris Agreement explicitly require developed countries to assist less-developed and vulnerable nations, ensuring equitable access to climate resources [1][2][35].

The UNFCCC, adopted in 1992 at the Rio Earth Summit, provides the legal and institutional framework for climate finance [1][36]. It introduced the principle of common but differentiated responsibilities, obliging developed nations to lead in providing financial and technological support [2][37]. The Paris Agreement further strengthened this framework by formalizing long-term finance goals, emphasizing transparency and accountability, and requiring that finance flows align with low-emission and climate-resilient development pathways [1][8][9][38]. These conventions together ensure that climate finance is central to global efforts to reduce emissions and enhance resilience in countries with varying capacities and vulnerabilities [12][39].

2.2 Sources and Mechanisms

The UNFCCC established a Financial Mechanism to manage and distribute climate funds to developing countries [1][4][40]. A financial mechanism is a structured institutional system that channels resources from donor countries or institutions to recipient nations, ensuring predictable, transparent, and effective funding for climate action [4][41]. The Global Environment Facility has served as an operating entity of the mechanism since 1994, providing grants and concessional

funds to support mitigation and adaptation projects [13][42]. In 2010–2011, Parties created the Green Climate Fund as a new operating entity to mobilize large-scale funding and catalyze private investment [14][43]. The UNFCCC also oversees specialized funds such as the Least Developed Countries Fund, Special Climate Change Fund, and Adaptation Fund, which provide targeted support to the most vulnerable countries [15][28][44]. For example, the Adaptation Fund, established in 2001, finances concrete resilience projects in developing nations and draws on a 2% levy from Clean Development Mechanism carbon credit sales [15][45].

Beyond these UNFCCC funds, other mechanisms contribute to climate finance [16][46]. Bilateral funds and climate finance commitments, such as Japan's International Climate Initiative, support national and regional climate projects [20][47]. Multilateral development banks issue green bonds to attract private capital, while carbon markets under Article 6 of the Paris Agreement and voluntary carbon credit schemes generate additional funding [16][48]. Emerging instruments, including climate risk insurance and debt-for-climate swaps, help manage climate-related financial risks and redirect resources toward adaptation and mitigation [17][33][49]. By 2024, global green bond markets had reached approximately $3 trillion, demonstrating the increasing role of private capital in low-carbon projects [32][50].

2.3 Historical Context

Since the inception of the UNFCCC, developed countries have pledged to provide financial support to developing nations [1][2][20]. In 2009, they committed to mobilize $100 billion per year by 2020, a pledge reaffirmed in the Paris Agreement (2015) as a baseline for continued climate finance through 2025 [1][2][19]. Despite this commitment, progress has been uneven: OECD data indicate that actual flows fall far short of estimated needs, with nearly $6 trillion required by 2030 to implement developing countries' climate plans [22][51]. While high-profile initiatives, such as Green Climate Fund projects, have demonstrated success, systemic funding gaps persist [14][52]. The Paris Agreement introduced a biennial global stocktake to monitor finance flows, but debates continue over shortfalls, equity, and the fair allocation of resources [7][24][53].

3. Key UNFCCC Mechanisms Relevant to Climate Finance

3.1 Green Climate Fund (GCF)

Established in 2010 and operational since 2011, the Green Climate Fund is the world's largest dedicated climate fund, mandated to support developing countries in implementing their Nationally Determined Contributions [14][43]. Headquartered in Songdo, South Korea, it is governed by a 24-member board and channels grants, concessional loans, guarantees, and equity into climate projects [14][54]. By late 2023, the GCF had committed around $13.5 billion in funding, leveraging over $51 billion, including co-financing [14][55]. It maintains a 50:50 balance between mitigation and adaptation funding, prioritizing vulnerable countries such as small island

states and LDCs [14][56]. Funded projects include large-scale renewable energy development, climate-smart agriculture, and flood defense systems [14][57]. The GCF also mobilizes private investment through risk mitigation instruments and provides readiness and capacity-building programs to help countries prepare funding proposals [14][58].

3.2 Adaptation Fund (AF)

Created under the Kyoto Protocol in 2001, the Adaptation Fund finances concrete adaptation projects in developing countries [15][28]. It is funded partly by a 2% share of proceeds from Clean Development Mechanism carbon projects, alongside voluntary contributions [15][45]. By 2020, it had received about $1.05 billion in contributions [15][59]. The fund pioneered the "Direct Access" modality, accrediting national entities to propose and manage projects, thereby strengthening local ownership [15][60]. Typical projects include water management, climate-resilient agriculture, health early warning systems, and disaster risk reduction infrastructure [15][61].

3.3 Global Environment Facility (GEF)

Founded in 1991 and restructured at Rio 1992, the GEF serves as a financial mechanism for multiple environmental treaties, including the UNFCCC [13][62]. It provides grants and concessional funding for projects in developing countries, with multiple replenishment cycles; its eighth replenishment (2022–2026) totals $5.3 billion, including about $900 million earmarked for climate mitigation [13][42][63]. The GEF supports low-emission pathways through technology transfer, renewable energy, energy efficiency, and policy innovation [13][64]. It also administers the Least Developed Countries Fund and Special Climate Change Fund for adaptation, financing national programs and integration of climate strategies into national planning [15][28][65].

3.4 Technology Mechanism

Established in 2010, the Technology Mechanism includes the Technology Executive Committee and the Climate Technology Centre and Network [3][66]. While it does not provide direct funding, it supports climate finance indirectly by offering technical assistance, policy guidance, and capacity building, helping countries develop bankable project proposals and connect with funding sources [3][67].

3.5 UNFCCC Financial Mechanism

The UNFCCC Financial Mechanism is a structured system designed to channel financial resources from developed countries and multilateral institutions to developing nations, ensuring predictable, transparent, and effective funding for climate action [1][21][40]. Under the Convention, the Conference of the Parties (COP) provides guidance to the operating entities of this mechanism, such as the Global Environment Facility (GEF) and the Green Climate Fund (GCF), regarding funding priorities, eligibility criteria, and operational policies [2][3][27].

The Financial Mechanism is established under Article 11 of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) [1][21]. Article 11 sets out the mandate, principles, and structure of the mechanism, stating that it shall function under the guidance of the COP and serve to provide financial support to developing country Parties for both mitigation and adaptation activities [21][68]. It emphasizes equitable access, transparency, and the need to address the special circumstances of the most vulnerable countries, such as Least Developed Countries and Small Island Developing States [21][69].

COP decisions, including those made under the Paris Agreement, operationalize Article 11 by shaping which projects receive funding, ensuring a balance between adaptation and mitigation [1][2][70]. The COP has also established oversight bodies, such as the Standing Committee on Finance, to monitor, report, and improve the coordination of climate finance [11][29][71]. Through this mechanism, Article 11 ensures that the financial commitments of developed country Parties are translated into tangible funding flows that enable developing countries to implement climate actions effectively and equitably [21][68][72].

4. International Commitments and Agreements

4.1 Paris Agreement

The Paris Agreement deepened financial commitments, requiring that all finance flows support low-emission, climate-resilient development [1][4][73]. Parties submit NDCs outlining mitigation and adaptation plans, often including financing needs [7][24][74]. Developed countries reaffirmed their obligation to provide climate finance, while voluntary contributions by other countries are encouraged [2][25][75]. A balance between adaptation and mitigation finance is mandated, reflecting the need for both types of action [1][4][76].

4.2 COP Finance Decisions

1. At COP21 in Paris (2015), Parties reaffirmed the commitment to mobilize $100 billion per year in climate finance and agreed to set a new, higher finance goal for 2025 and beyond [43].

2. COP24 in Katowice (2018) and COP25 in Madrid (2019) focused on refining rules and guidance for climate finance mechanisms, including eligibility criteria, reporting standards, and transparency requirements [44].

3. At COP26 in Glasgow (2021), work programs were launched to increase adaptation finance and donors were urged to double adaptation funding by 2025 [45].

4. At COP26, developed countries pledged over $350 million to the Adaptation Fund and over $600 million to the Least Developed Countries Fund [46].

5. Rules under Article 6 of the Paris Agreement were finalized at COP26, including international carbon trading mechanisms with a 5 percent levy on carbon credits to fund adaptation and a 2 percent cancellation requirement to ensure global emissions reductions are additional [47].

6. COP27 in Sharm el-Sheikh (2022) established a dedicated Loss and Damage Fund to provide financial support to countries experiencing climate-related harms that cannot be addressed through adaptation [48].

7. A transitional committee was created at COP27 to define operational rules for the Loss and Damage Fund, identify contributors, and determine fund distribution [48].

8. Technical support for accessing the Loss and Damage Fund is provided through the Santiago Network, which assists vulnerable countries in addressing climate impacts [48].

9. Innovative financing sources for the Loss and Damage Fund, including windfall taxes on fossil fuel companies, insurance schemes, and debt-for-climate swaps, were discussed at COP27 [48].

10. The establishment of the Loss and Damage Fund at COP27 signifies political commitment to filling gaps in climate finance, although specific funding allocations are still under development [48]

4.3 Developed vs. Developing Countries Perspectives

Differences in climate finance perspectives between developed and developing countries have been shaped through successive Conference of the Parties (COP) negotiations, reflecting unequal vulnerabilities, historical responsibilities, and financial capacities under the UNFCCC and the Paris Agreement [2][50].

4.3.1 Developing Countries' Perspectives

Developing countries consistently emphasize that climate finance commitments made at COPs must translate into predictable, accessible, and grant-based support, particularly for adaptation. Since COP15 in Copenhagen (2009), developing countries have highlighted the gap between pledged finance and actual disbursement, arguing that unmet commitments undermine their ability to implement mitigation and adaptation actions aligned with their Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) [49][50].

At COP21 in Paris (2015), developing countries welcomed the reaffirmation of the USD 100 billion annual finance goal but stressed that finance should prioritize adaptation and resilience, reflecting their immediate climate risks [43][50]. Subsequent COPs, including COP26 (2021) and COP27 (2022), reinforced calls from developing countries for increased adaptation finance, simplified access procedures, and recognition of loss and damage [45][48][50].

4.3.2 Bangladesh

Bangladesh has repeatedly highlighted its vulnerability to floods, cyclones, and sea-level rise in COP negotiations, particularly during COP21, COP26, and COP27. Its Bangladesh Climate Change Strategy and Action Plan identifies the need for billions of dollars for coastal embankments, climate-resilient agriculture, and renewable energy expansion [50].

Despite COP commitments to scale up adaptation finance, Bangladesh continues to face funding shortfalls, reinforcing its position that COP finance pledges must prioritize grants over loans to avoid increasing debt burdens [50].

4.3.3 Kenya

Kenya has actively engaged in COP processes to advocate for balanced mitigation and adaptation finance. Its NDC submissions under the Paris Agreement, discussed during COP24 (Katowice, 2018) and COP26 (Glasgow, 2021), outline financing needs for geothermal energy expansion, renewable energy systems, and climate-smart agriculture [44][45][50].

Kenya relies on blended finance models promoted at COPs, combining concessional public finance from multilateral institutions with private investment. However, Kenya and similar African countries have argued at COP negotiations that private finance alone cannot address adaptation needs without strong public support [50].

4.3.4 Small Island Developing States (SIDS)

Small Island Developing States, including Fiji and Vanuatu, have been among the most vocal actors at COP negotiations. At COP26 and COP27, SIDS emphasized that climate finance discussions must recognize their existential risks, as sea-level rise and extreme weather threaten territorial integrity and economic survival [45][48].

Despite an estimated collective adaptation need of around USD 12 billion per year, SIDS currently receive only about USD 2 billion annually in international public adaptation finance, amounting to roughly 0.2 percent of global climate finance flows [50]. This gap has driven strong advocacy by SIDS at COP27 for the establishment of the Loss and Damage Fund [48][50].

Developing countries across COP forums stress that climate finance should be new, non-debt-creating and additional to existing Official Development Assistance (ODA). They also call for

simplified access procedures and greater involvement of vulnerable countries in COP-level decision-making, consistent with the principle of common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities (CBDR-RC) under the UNFCCC [2][50].

4.3.2. Developed Countries' Perspectives

Developed countries, including Germany, Japan, and the United States, approach climate finance through commitments negotiated and reaffirmed across COPs, while balancing domestic fiscal and political constraints. Since COP15 (2009), developed countries have acknowledged their obligation to provide financial resources but have emphasized transparency, accountability, and private sector mobilization [49][50].

At COP21 (Paris, 2015), developed countries reaffirmed the USD 100 billion goal and agreed to enhanced reporting frameworks, later operationalized at COP24 (Katowice, 2018) through common transparency rules [43][44][50]. Developed countries often stress that clear reporting standards agreed at COPs are essential for maintaining trust and credibility in the climate finance regime.

4.3.2.1 Resource Mobilization and Accountability

Developed countries emphasize that climate finance mobilization should combine public funds with private investment, an approach reinforced at COP26 (Glasgow, 2021). Instruments such as green bonds, guarantees, and blended finance have been promoted at COPs as ways to scale finance while maintaining fiscal sustainability [45][50].

They also stress accountability mechanisms agreed under COP decisions, including measurement, reporting, and verification of finance flows [44][50].

4.3.2.2 Germany and Japan's Contributions

Germany has consistently increased its reported climate finance contributions following COP commitments, providing nearly USD 14 billion in climate finance in 2024, covering mitigation and adaptation projects in developing countries [50].

Japan emphasizes financing renewable energy and climate-resilient infrastructure in Asia, reporting its contributions through national communications and biennial reports submitted under COP transparency frameworks [50].

4.3.2.3 Domestic Constraints and Private Sector Mobilization

Developed countries frequently note at COP negotiations that scaling public finance faces domestic budgetary pressures. As a result, COP discussions have increasingly emphasized mobilizing private capital to complement public funds [50].

At the same time, developed countries face criticism from developing countries over the high share of loans in reported climate finance and disputes over what qualifies as "new and additional" finance, an issue repeatedly raised during COP24, COP25, and COP26 [44][45][50].

5. Challenges in Mobilizing Climate Finance

5.1 Financial Gaps

The scale of funding required to address climate change far exceeds current financial flows. Global estimates indicate that roughly $10–20 trillion per year will be needed between 2030 and 2050 to limit global warming to 1.5°C, covering both mitigation (e.g., renewable energy, energy efficiency) and adaptation (e.g., climate-resilient infrastructure, disaster risk reduction) measures [50]. In comparison, tracked climate finance currently amounts to only hundreds of billions annually, representing a small fraction of what is required [50]. Specifically, for adaptation alone, developing countries face an annual funding gap of $215–387 billion, while public adaptation finance from donor governments remains below $30 billion per year [50]. Similarly, mitigation finance needs run into the trillions, yet present investments fall far short [50].

5.2 Barriers to Access

Developing countries often face procedural and institutional hurdles to obtaining funds. These include complicated application processes, limited capacity to prepare bankable proposals, and conditional ties tied to loans [50]. High transaction costs and inflexible funding windows can discourage small-scale or innovative projects [50]. There are also currency and sovereign risks that make lenders hesitant [50]. For example, Small Island Developing States struggle with high interest rates and few local financial instruments [50]. Moreover, public funds often flow through multilateral channels with stringent governance, which some countries find slow [50]. These barriers mean that even when money exists, it may not reach those who need it, or arrive too late for projects [50].

5.3 Private Sector Participation

Engaging private finance is critical but challenging. Private investors seek stable, profitable ventures, whereas many climate projects in low-income countries carry high perceived risk and low immediate returns [50]. Market failures (e.g., undervalued carbon, policy uncertainty) further deter investment [50]. Although tools like green bonds, guarantees, and blended finance aim to bridge the gap, mobilizing large private capital has been slower than hoped [50]. Rich-country governments have pledged to mobilize wide sources of finance, but converting public commitments into commercial funding involves complex regulatory and market reforms [50]. For instance, World Bank officials note that redirecting existing global capital toward climate requires aligning policies and incentives [50].

5.4 Equity and Justice

Ensuring that climate finance reaches the most vulnerable remains a critical challenge. Lower-income countries, particularly Least Developed Countries (LDCs) and Small Island Developing States (SIDS), face the most severe climate impacts but often lack the necessary resources to respond [50]. Under the principle of Common but Differentiated Responsibilities (CBDR), these countries argue for priority access to grant-based, concessionary financing [50]. However, data reveal a significant mismatch: the 39 SIDS collectively receive only about 0.2% of global climate finance, despite an estimated need of $12 billion per year for adaptation [50]. Much of the climate finance provided comes in the form of loans, further increasing the debt burden on already heavily indebted nations [50]. Additionally, a donor-driven focus on mitigation frequently overshadows urgent adaptation needs, undermining equity objectives [50]. Climate finance discussions, therefore center on issues of justice and fairness, including balancing mitigation and adaptation funding, ensuring new, non-debt, non-ODA resources for the poorest countries, and meaningfully involving vulnerable nations in decision-making [50].

6. Regional and Country Perspectives

6.1 Developing Countries

Needs and challenges vary by region. For example, South Asian countries like Bangladesh face intense flood and cyclone risks [50]. Bangladesh's climate strategy (Bangladesh Climate Change Strategy and Action Plan) requires billions for coastal embankments, drought-resistant crops, and renewable energy expansion, but public budgets are constrained [50].

African countries like Kenya emphasize both adaptation and clean energy; Kenya's Nationally Determined Contribution is its official climate action plan submitted to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change under the Paris Agreement [50]. It outlines the country's sovereign commitments to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and adapt to climate change impacts, listing projects from geothermal expansion to climate-smart agriculture [50].

These countries often rely on blended finance. For instance, a large-scale infrastructure project might be co-financed by concessional public grants, a loan from a development finance institution, and private commercial capital [50]. Nonetheless, many developing nations underline that the funding shortfall hampers meeting their Nationally Determined Contributions [50].

6.2 Developed Countries

As suppliers of finance, OECD nations have increased their climate funding but face domestic pressures [50]. They are working on scaling up contributions while ensuring public funds are "new and additional" beyond existing aid [50].

Challenges include justifying commitments amid budget constraints and coordinating finance among multiple agencies [50]. Notably, there are debates in donor countries over how to count and report climate finance, such as whether to include export credits, private-sector flows, or only grants [50]. Some developed countries emphasize private sector mobilization, while others focus on grants to least developed countries [50].

6.3 Small Island Developing States (SIDS)

SIDS are particularly vulnerable to sea-level rise, hurricanes, and other impacts. Their economies are small, limiting domestic finance [2][50]. SIDS collectively need around $12 billion per year for adaptation, roughly $800 million per SIDS on average, yet they currently receive only about $2 billion per year in international public adaptation finance, amounting to only 0.2% of global climate finance flows [3][50]. Efforts like the GCF's 50% adaptation mandate and new regional climate funds target some SIDS needs [9][56]. However, financial vulnerability is compounded by high debt, as nearly half of climate funds to SIDS have been delivered as loans [8][50]. SIDS governments and negotiators are calling for grant-based funding and new criteria, such as a vulnerability index, to ensure fair access. Urgent adaptation finance is needed for island nations' water security, disaster defenses, and climate-resilient infrastructure [2, 6][50].

6.4 Stakeholder Analysis

Climate finance involves a diverse set of stakeholders, each with distinct roles, interests, and capacities. National governments are central in planning, prioritizing, and implementing climate projects while ensuring alignment with Nationally Determined Contributions [1][2][35]. Multilateral development banks and international financial institutions provide large-scale funding, technical assistance, risk mitigation instruments, and policy guidance [20][50]. Bilateral donors contribute grants and concessional loans and often influence project priorities through conditional ties [1][50]. Private sector actors, including corporations, banks, and investors, are increasingly engaged through blended finance, green bonds, and carbon market mechanisms to leverage additional capital [16][32][50]. Local communities and civil society organizations ensure projects address real adaptation and mitigation needs on the ground, while regional organizations coordinate funding allocation and knowledge sharing [17][50].

Understanding stakeholder motivations, responsibilities, and power dynamics is critical to designing effective, equitable, and accountable climate finance strategies that meet both local and global climate goals [50].

7. Role of UNFCCC in Facilitating Climate Finance

7.1 Negotiation Platform

Under the UNFCCC, Parties meet annually at COPs to negotiate finance issues [1][2][35]. The COP provides guidance on the Financial Mechanism's priorities [1][21][40]. COP decisions have established new funds (Adaptation Fund, Loss & Damage Fund) and work programs (e.g., on the $100 billion goal, on the new collective finance goal) [43][48][50]. Special bodies like the Standing Committee on Finance (SCF) were created to assist COPs in finance matters [11][29][71]. The UNFCCC also organizes periodic high-level ministerial dialogues on climate finance, providing opportunities to announce new pledges and align global strategies [50].

7.2 Monitoring and Reporting

The UNFCCC oversees transparency of climate finance through several mechanisms [1][2][50]. Donor countries regularly report climate-related aid in their national communications and biennial reports [1][2][50]. For example, Japan reports funding for renewable energy projects, while Germany reports climate finance for adaptation initiatives in developing countries through their biennial reports to the UNFCCC [50]. The SCF synthesizes these inputs in its Biennial Assessment and Overview of Climate Finance Flows, tracking trends [1][11][50]. The COP has emphasized the need for MRV (Measurement, Reporting, Verification) of financial support to ensure accountability [1][3][50]. The UNFCCC's new Finance Portal and Climate Finance Data Portal also publish project-level funding data for funds like GEF and the Adaptation Fund [13][50].

7.3 Climate Finance and Climate Action

The UNFCCC oversees transparency of climate finance through several mechanisms [1][2][50]. Donor countries regularly report climate-related aid in their national communications and biennial reports [1][2][50]. For example, Japan reports funding for renewable energy projects, while Germany reports climate finance for adaptation initiatives in developing countries through their biennial reports to the UNFCCC [50]. The Standing Committee on Finance synthesizes these inputs in its Biennial Assessment and Overview of Climate Finance Flows, tracking global trends [1][11][50]. The Conference of the Parties has emphasized the need for measurement, reporting, and verification of financial support to ensure accountability [1][3][50]. In addition, the UNFCCC's Finance Portal and Climate Finance Data Portal publish project-level funding data for mechanisms such as the Global Environment Facility and the Adaptation Fund, strengthening the link between climate finance transparency and effective climate action [13][50].

8. Case Studies and Examples

8.1 Successful Projects

Multilateral climate funds have supported several successful projects worldwide [14][15][50]. For example, the Green Climate Fund has financed large-scale renewable energy projects such as solar power plants in India and wind energy installations across Africa, helping reduce emissions and expand clean energy access [14][55][50]. These initiatives are enabled by the UNFCCC framework, which established the Green Climate Fund and provides guidance, transparency rules, and oversight to ensure effective use of climate finance [1][14][50].

Similarly, the Adaptation Fund has supported community-based projects including the cultivation of drought-resistant crops, improved water management systems, and the construction of natural flood protection measures [15][61][50]. These projects enhance resilience in vulnerable regions and are facilitated by the UNFCCC through its role in creating the Adaptation Fund under the Kyoto Protocol and ensuring that adaptation finance reaches developing countries [15][28][50].

Likewise, the Global Environment Facility has funded energy efficiency programs such as the distribution of energy-efficient light bulbs in Asia and the strengthening of building energy codes in Latin America [13][64][50]. These efforts have significantly reduced energy consumption and emissions, with the UNFCCC designating the Global Environment Facility as a key financial mechanism and aligning its funding priorities with global climate objectives [13][62][50]. Overall, these projects highlight how the UNFCCC plays a central role in mobilizing, guiding, and overseeing climate finance to support mitigation and adaptation efforts across the world [1][50].

8.2 Innovative Financing

There are pioneering schemes to blend public and private funding [16][17][50]. Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) have been used to build climate-resilient infrastructure [50]. Carbon credit financing has emerged via voluntary carbon markets and Article 6 of the Paris Agreement mechanisms: companies offset emissions by paying for emission reductions in developing countries, some of which share proceeds with local communities or adaptation [47][50]. Debt-for-climate swaps are in pilot stages in some Caribbean and Latin American countries, where portions of sovereign debt were forgiven in exchange for conservation or renewable energy commitments [17][50]. Financial technology innovations are also arising: for instance, climate-risk insurance pools allow vulnerable countries to pay smaller premiums now in exchange for payouts after disasters, effectively stabilizing budgets [17][50].

8.3 Implications of Different Financing Mechanisms

Different climate finance mechanisms, such as donations, grants, loans, and blended funding carry distinct implications for both recipients and global climate action [50]. Donations and grants provide non-repayable resources, allowing developing countries to implement mitigation and adaptation projects without increasing debt burdens, but they may be limited in scale and often depend on donor priorities [50]. Concessional loans offer lower interest rates than commercial borrowing, enabling countries to finance large infrastructure or renewable energy projects while maintaining fiscal sustainability, yet they still require repayment and careful debt management [50]. Blended finance, combining public funds with private investment, can significantly scale climate action by leveraging additional resources, but it may introduce complexity in governance and accountability [50]. Innovative instruments such as debt-for-climate swaps or climate risk insurance provide targeted benefits, reducing financial vulnerability and incentivizing conservation or resilience measures [17][50]. Understanding these implications is critical for designing financing strategies that are effective, equitable, and aligned with sustainable development goals [50].

9. Recommendations and Future Outlook

9.1 Increasing Climate Finance

Policies to scale up climate finance should focus on setting higher collective targets, reforming international financial institutions to increase lending capacity, and boosting contributions to multilateral climate funds [50]. Developed countries are encouraged to prioritize grants and concessional loans to reduce debt burdens on vulnerable nations [50]. Innovative mechanisms such as carbon taxes or levies can generate additional revenue [50]. Multilateral Development Banks should continue capital increases and promote instruments such as green bonds, resilience bonds, and blended finance approaches [50]. Public-private partnerships and other innovative mechanisms can amplify resources and mobilize private investment [50]. International cooperation, including structured pledging exercises at COPs, remains essential [50].

9.2 Enhancing Transparency and Accountability

Strengthening measurement, reporting, and verification frameworks ensures effective use of climate finance [1][2][50]. Biennial Assessments and integration into global stocktakes under the Paris Agreement provide additional oversight [7][50]. Innovative reporting approaches, such as tagging climate projects in national budgets, help ensure finance aligns with climate goals [50].

9.3 Linking Finance to Climate Goals

All finance should support net-zero emissions and climate resilience [1][4][50]. Funding must prioritize high-impact actions such as decarbonizing energy systems, transforming transportation,

and protecting ecosystems [50]. The Paris Agreement's Article 2.1(c) should guide investment decisions [1][4][50]. Measures like green taxonomies, climate risk disclosure, and carbon pricing help redirect finance, while the financial sector must price climate risk and invest in green assets [50].

9.4 Integrating Strategic Financing Mechanisms

Blended finance, green bonds, debt-for-climate swaps, and multilateral development bank instruments mobilize finance at scale [16][17][50]. Combining public and private funds leverages investment, while concessional loans and guarantees reduce risks [50]. Green bonds channel private savings into climate projects, and debt-for-climate swaps free fiscal space for conservation and renewable energy [17][50]. Coordinated use of these mechanisms with transparency and alignment to climate targets provides the financial backbone for global mitigation and adaptation efforts [50].

10. Questions a Resolution Must Answer (QARMA)

1. How can the UNFCCC ensure that climate finance commitments (e.g., USD 100 billion goal, post-2025 targets etc.) are met and effectively support developing countries' mitigation, adaptation, and loss and damage needs?
2. What reforms are required within UNFCCC financial mechanisms to improve access and reduce procedural barriers for vulnerable countries, particularly LDCs and SIDS?
3. How should responsibilities for providing climate finance be equitably distributed under CBDR-RC while encouraging broader participation without increasing debt vulnerabilities?
4. What role should transparency frameworks play in monitoring, reporting, and verifying climate finance flows to ensure accountability and trust?
5. How can innovative financing tools (e.g. blended finance, carbon markets, debt-for-climate swaps etc) be integrated to close finance gaps?
6. How can climate finance arrangements be structured to remain effective and credible beyond short-term political and economic cycles?

11. References

1. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. (n.d.). *Introduction to climate finance*. UNFCCC. https://unfccc.int/topics/introduction-to-climate-finance

2. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. (1992). *United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change*. https://unfccc.int/resource/docs/convkp/conveng.pdf

3. Standing Committee on Finance. (2024). *Sixth Biennial Assessment and Overview of Climate Finance Flows*. UNFCCC. https://unfccc.int/documents/641050

4. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. (2015). *Paris Agreement*. https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/the-paris-agreement

5. Green Climate Fund. (n.d.). *About the Green Climate Fund*. https://www.greenclimate.fund/about

6. Green Climate Fund. (n.d.). *Adaptation*. https://www.greenclimate.fund/theme/adaptation

7. Global Environment Facility. (n.d.). *Global Environment Facility*. https://www.thegef.org/

8. International Institute for Sustainable Development. (2024). *Understanding Green Climate Fund support for adaptation planning*. https://www.iisd.org/publications/report/green-climate-fund-adaptation-planning

9. Global Environment Facility. (2023). *Processes and policies of the GEF and GCF: Comparative analysis*. https://www.thegef.org/documents/processes-and-policies-gef-and-gcf-comparative-analysis-foster-complementarity-and-

10. UNFCCC. (n.d.). *Standing Committee on Finance information repository*. https://unfccc.int/topics/climate-finance/resources/standing-committee-on-finance-info-repository

11. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2024). *Climate and development finance FAQ*. https://www.oecd.org/en/data/insights/data-explainers/2024/12/climate-and-development-finance-faq.html

12. Climate Funds Update. (2019). *Climate finance thematic briefing – Adaptation finance*. https://climatefundsupdate.org/publications/climate-finance-thematic-briefing-adaptation-

finance-2/

13. Climate Funds Update. (2024). *Climate finance fundamentals*. https://climatefundsupdate.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/04/CFF3-2024-ENG-Adaptation-DIGITAL.pdf

14. Viguri, S., López Tovar, S., Juárez Olvera, M., & Visconti, G. (2021). *Analysis of external climate finance access and implementation: CIF, FCPF, GCF and GEF projects and programs*. Inter-American Development Bank. https://publications.iadb.org/en/analysis-external-climate-finance-access-and-implementation-cif-fcpf-gcf-and-gef-projects-and

15. Zamarioli, C., Binet, S., et al. (2021). *Mobilizing private adaptation finance: Lessons from the GCF*. *Climatic Change*. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10584-021-03190-1

16. *Adaptation Fund effectiveness review*. (n.d.). ODI. https://odi.org/en/publications/the-effectiveness-of-climate-finance-a-review-of-the-adaptation-fund/

17. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. (2022). *2022 technical report on climate finance*. UNFCCC. https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/J0156_UNFCCC%20100BN%202022%20Report_Book_v3.2.pdf

18. UNFCCC. (n.d.). *Needs-based Climate Finance Project guidebook*. https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/resource/J0008_UNFCCC_NBF_ASEAN_Guidebook_FINAL_AW_digital.pdf

19. European Environment Agency. (n.d.). *UNFCCC finance portal*. https://climate-adapt.eea.europa.eu/en/metadata/portals/unfccc-finance-portal

20. Reuters. (2025, Feb 21). *Green Climate Fund approves $687 million in investments*. https://www.reuters.com/sustainability/sustainable-finance-reporting/green-climate-fund-approves-687-million-investments-2025-02-21/

21. Reuters. (2025, Sep 9). *Development banks' climate finance hit record $137 billion in 2024*. https://www.reuters.com/sustainability/cop/development-banks-climate-finance-hit-record-137-billion-2024-2025-09-09/

22. Reuters. (2025, Sep 29). *Germany provides nearly $14 billion for climate financing in 2024*. https://www.reuters.com/sustainability/cop/germany-provides-nearly-14-billion-

climate-financing-2024-2025-09-29/

23. Financial Times. (2025). *GCF chief: We need a different scale of investments*. https://www.ft.com/content/f709d0d6-a540-471f-8c18-4e3366089152

24. Financial Times. (2025). *'Yawning' finance gap for adaptation*. https://www.ft.com/content/98ad47fb-bfdc-41a1-a0e7-8f845ab7ce6a

25. Stern, N., et al. (2024). *Poorer nations need $1tn a year by 2030 in climate finance*. *The Guardian*. https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2024/nov/14/wealthy-countries-must-invest-1tn-a-year-by-2030-for-climate

26. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. (2022). *Climate Change 2022: Mitigation of climate change*. IPCC. https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg3/

27. World Bank. (2023). *State and trends of climate finance report*. World Bank. https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/37560.

28. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2023). *Climate finance provided and mobilised by developed countries: 2023 report*. OECD. https://www.oecd.org/climate-change/financing-climate-change/.

29. United Nations Environment Programme. (2023). *Adaptation Gap Report 2023*. UNEP. https://www.unep.org/resources/adaptation-gap-report-2023

30. Climate Investment Funds. (n.d.). *About the Climate Investment Funds*. https://www.climateinvestmentfunds.org/

31. World Resources Institute. (2023). *Climate finance landscape 2023*. WRI. https://www.wri.org/climate/climate-finance-landscape.

32. Carbon Disclosure Project. (2024). *Global climate finance flows 2024*. CDP. https://www.cdp.net/en/research/global-reports/global-climate-finance-flows-2024.

33. United Nations Development Programme. (2024). *Financing for climate action report*. UNDP. https://www.undp.org/publications/financing-climate-action-report-2024.

34. International Monetary Fund. (2024). *Climate finance and fiscal policy review*. IMF. https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/climate-finance-review-2024.

35. United Nations. (2024). *World economic situation and prospects 2024: Climate finance chapter*. https://www.un.org/development/desa/dpad/publication/world-economic-situation-and-prospects-2024/

36. Climate Policy Initiative. (2025). *Global landscape of climate finance 2025*. CPI. https://www.climatepolicyinitiative.org/publication/global-landscape-of-climate-finance-2025

37. Overseas Development Institute. (2013). *The effectiveness of climate finance: A literature review*. ODI. https://odi.org/en/publications/

38. International Finance Corporation. (2024). *Mobilizing private finance for climate solutions*. IFC. https://www.ifc.org/en/climate-finance-report-2024.

39. World Bank. (2024). *Mobilizing climate finance for low-carbon development*. WB. https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/climatefinance

40. United Nations Capital Development Fund. (2024). *Accessing climate finance for small states*. UNCDF. https://www.uncdf.org/climatefinance-smallstates.

41. International Institute for Environment and Development. (2024). *Climate finance networks and institutions*. IIED. https://www.iied.org/climate-finance.

42. Brookings Institution. (2025). *Scaling climate finance: Public and private roles*. Brookings. https://www.brookings.edu/research/scaling-climate-finance/.

43. United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific. (2024). *Climate finance in Asia-Pacific*. UNESCAP. https://www.unescap.org/publications/climate-finance-asia-pacific.

44. Centre for Global Development. (2024). *New collective quantified goal on climate finance report*. CGD. https://www.cgdev.org/publication/new-collective-quantified-goal-report.

45. Climate Analytics. (2025). *Matching finance flows with 1.5°C pathways*. CA. https://climateanalytics.org/briefings/matching-finance-flows/.

46. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development. (2024). *Financing for sustainable development report*. UNCTAD. https://unctad.org/system/files/official-document/fsdr2024_en.pdf.

47. World Economic Forum. (2024). *Global risks report: Climate finance section*. WEF. https://www.weforum.org/reports/global-risks-report-2024.

48. International Renewable Energy Agency. (2024). *Renewable energy finance 2024*. IRENA. https://www.irena.org/publications/2024/.

49. UNFCCC. (2014). *2014 biennial assessment and overview of climate finance flows*. https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/2014_biennial_assessment_and_overview_of_climate_finance_flows_report_web.pdf

50. United Nations High-Level Expert Group on Climate Finance. (2024). *Report on climate finance needs to 2030*. UN. https://www.un.org/en/climate-finance-needs-report-2030.